

**Official Ballot**  
**General Election**  
**Larimer County, Colorado**  
**Tuesday, November 6, 2012**

**SAMPLE BALLOT**




**Scott Doyle**  
**Clerk and Recorder**

**Instructions to Voters**

To vote, fill in the oval completely. Please use black ink.

**Correct**

**Incorrect**



If you mark in any of the incorrect ways shown above it may be difficult to determine your intent.

If you make a mistake please ask for a new ballot.

**Federal Offices**

**PRESIDENTIAL ELECTORS**  
 (Vote for One Pair)

- Virgil H. Goode Jr. / Jim Clymer  
American Constitution
- Barack Obama / Joe Biden  
Democratic
- Mitt Romney / Paul Ryan  
Republican
- Gary Johnson / James P. Gray  
Libertarian
- Jill Stein / Cheri Honkala  
Green
- Stewart Alexander / Alex Mendoza  
Socialist, USA
- Ross C. "Rocky" Anderson / Luis J. Rodriguez  
Justice
- Roseanne Barr / Cindy Lee Sheehan  
Peace and Freedom
- James Harris / Alyson Kennedy  
Socialist Workers
- Tom Hoefling / Jonathan D. Ellis  
America's
- Gloria La Riva / Filberto Ramirez Jr.  
Socialism and Liberation
- Merlin Miller / Harry V. Bertram  
American Third Position
- Jill Reed / Tom Cary  
Unaffiliated
- Thomas Robert Stevens / Alden Link  
Objectivist
- Sheila "Samm" Tittle / Matthew A. Turner  
We the People
- Jerry White / Phyllis Scherrer  
Socialist Equality
- 

Write-In

**Federal Offices**

**REPRESENTATIVE TO THE 113TH UNITED STATES CONGRESS - DISTRICT 2**  
 (Vote for One)

- Kevin Lundberg  
Republican
- Jared Polis  
Democratic
- Randy Luallin  
Libertarian
- Susan P. Hall  
Green

**State Offices**

**STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION - CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 2**  
 (Vote for One)

- Angelika Schroeder  
Democratic
- Ann Fattor  
Republican
- David G. Cottrell  
Libertarian

**REGENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO - AT LARGE**  
 (Vote for One)

- Stephen C. Ludwig  
Democratic
- Tyler Belmont  
American Constitution
- Brian Davidson  
Republican
- Daniel Ong  
Libertarian

State Offices	State Offices	Judiciary
<p><b>STATE SENATE - DISTRICT 14</b> (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> John Kefalas Democratic</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Syndi Anderson Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Jeff Johnston Libertarian</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Barrett Rothe Unaffiliated</p>	<p><b>STATE REPRESENTATIVE - DISTRICT 53</b> (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Jon Fye Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Randy Fischer Democratic</p>	<p><b>COURT OF APPEALS</b> (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Judge Laurie A. Booras of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>
<p><b>STATE SENATE - DISTRICT 23</b> (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Vicki Marble Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Lee Kemp Democratic</p>	<p><b>DISTRICT ATTORNEY - 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b> (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Clifford Riedel Republican</p>	<p><b>COURT OF APPEALS</b> (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Judge James S. Casebolt of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>
<p><b>STATE REPRESENTATIVE - DISTRICT 49</b> (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> James Shelton Democratic</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Perry L. Buck Republican</p>	<p><b>County Offices</b></p> <p><b>COUNTY COMMISSIONER - DISTRICT 2</b> (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Steve Johnson Republican</p>	<p><b>COURT OF APPEALS</b> (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Judge Dennis A. Graham of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>
<p><b>STATE REPRESENTATIVE - DISTRICT 51</b> (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Mark R. Shaffer Democratic</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Brian DelGrosso Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Michael Renker Libertarian</p>	<p><b>COUNTY COMMISSIONER - DISTRICT 3</b> (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Tom Donnelly Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Karen Stockley Democratic</p>	<p><b>COURT OF APPEALS</b> (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Judge Gale T. Miller of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>
<p><b>STATE REPRESENTATIVE - DISTRICT 52</b> (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Joann Ginal Democratic</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Bob Morain Republican</p>	<p><b>City of Loveland</b></p> <p>Councilor Ward 4 1 year Term (Vote for No More Than One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Dave Clark</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Paul Mueller</p>	<p><b>COURT OF APPEALS</b> (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Judge Daniel Marc Taubman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>
	<p><b>Judiciary</b></p> <p><b>JUSTICE OF THE COLORADO SUPREME COURT</b> (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Justice Nathan B. Coats of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p><b>COURT OF APPEALS</b> (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Judge John R. Webb of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>
		<p><b>DISTRICT JUDGE - 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT</b> (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Shall Judge Devin Rollin Odell of the 8th Judicial District be retained in office?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>

**Judiciary**

**DISTRICT JUDGE - 8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**  
(Vote Yes or No)  
  
Shall Judge John David (Dave) Williams of the 8th Judicial District be retained in office?  
  
 YES  
 NO

**COUNTY JUDGE, LARIMER**  
(Vote Yes or No)  
  
Shall Judge Christine A. Carney of the Larimer County Court be retained in office?  
  
 YES  
 NO

**COUNTY JUDGE, LARIMER**  
(Vote Yes or No)  
  
Shall Judge Robert A. Rand of the Larimer County Court be retained in office?  
  
 YES  
 NO

**COUNTY JUDGE, LARIMER**  
(Vote Yes or No)  
  
Shall Judge Ronald L. Schultz of the Larimer County Court be retained in office?  
  
 YES  
 NO

Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.

**State of Colorado**

**Amendment S (CONSTITUTIONAL)**  
  
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning the state personnel system, and, in connection therewith, expanding the veterans' preference; increasing the number of candidates eligible to be appointed to a position; adjusting the duration of allowable temporary employment; allowing the flexibility to remove a limited number of positions from the system; modifying the residency requirement; adjusting the terms of service for members of the state personnel board; and requiring merit-based appointments to be made through a comparative analysis process?  
  
 YES  
 NO

**Amendment 64 (CONSTITUTIONAL)**  
  
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning marijuana, and, in connection therewith, providing for the regulation of marijuana; permitting a person twenty-one years of age or older to consume or possess limited amounts of marijuana; providing for the licensing of cultivation facilities, product manufacturing facilities, testing facilities, and retail stores; permitting local governments to regulate or prohibit such facilities; requiring the general assembly to enact an excise tax to be levied upon wholesale sales of marijuana; requiring that the first \$40 million in revenue raised annually by such tax be credited to the public school capital construction assistance fund; and requiring the general assembly to enact legislation governing the cultivation, processing, and sale of industrial hemp?  
  
 YES  
 NO

**Amendment 65 (CONSTITUTIONAL)**  
  
Shall there be amendments to the Colorado constitution and the Colorado revised statutes concerning support by Colorado's legislative representatives for a federal constitutional amendment to limit campaign contributions and spending, and, in connection therewith, instructing Colorado's congressional delegation to propose and support, and the members of Colorado's state legislature to ratify, an amendment to the United States constitution that allows congress and the states to limit campaign contributions and spending?  
  
 YES  
 NO

**St. Vrain Valley School District RE-1J**

BALLOT ISSUE 3A  
  
ST. VRAIN VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT RE-1J MILL LEVY OVERRIDE TO MITIGATE STATE FUNDING CUTS TO MAINTAIN TEACHER AND STAFF POSITIONS, ATTRACT AND RETAIN HIGH QUALITY TEACHERS AND STAFF, AND TO UPGRADE TECHNOLOGY AND EXPAND/ENHANCE EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION.

SHALL ST. VRAIN VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT RE-1J TAXES BE INCREASED \$14,800,000 IN TAX YEAR 2012 FOR COLLECTION IN YEAR 2013 (AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS MAY BE COLLECTED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER) FROM A MILL LEVY INCREASE NOT TO EXCEED 6.27 MILLS, AS DETERMINED ANNUALLY BY THE DISTRICT'S GOVERNING BOARD, THE REVENUES FROM WHICH SHALL BE USED FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES (WHICH INCLUDES THE DISTRICT'S EXISTING SIX CHARTER SCHOOLS), SUCH PURPOSES TO INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO:

MAINTAINING TEACHER POSITIONS AND REASONABLE CLASS SIZES,  
  
ATTRACTING AND RETAINING HIGH-QUALITY TEACHERS AND STAFF,

UPGRADING TECHNOLOGY TO ENHANCE STUDENT LEARNING, AND

EXPANDING AND ENHANCING EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION (PRESCHOOL AND KINDERGARTEN);

SUCH TAX INCREASE TO BE AN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY IN EXCESS OF THE LEVY AUTHORIZED FOR THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL FUND PURSUANT TO, AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S., AND TO CONSTITUTE A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY?

YES  
 NO

**Town of Berthoud**

Medical Marijuana Business Prohibition  
Initiated Question 300

Shall the Town of Berthoud adopt an ordinance providing:

**Section 1. Pursuant to Article 43.3 of Title 12 of the Colorado Revised Statutes, the Town of Berthoud hereby prohibits the operation of Medical Marijuana Centers, Optional Premises Cultivation Operations and Medical Marijuana Infused Products Manufacturing Businesses, effective ten (10) days following publication of this Ordinance. With respect to any such centers, operations, facilities or businesses of any kind in operation upon such effective date, each and every such center, operation, facility and business shall cease operations within ninety (90) days of said date.**

**Section 2. If passed by the voters at the November, 2012 regular election, this Initiated ordinance shall take effect immediately upon certification by the designated election official that a majority of registered electors voted in favor of this Initiated Ordinance at such regular election. In such event, each and every Medical Marijuana Center, Optional Premises Cultivation Operation and Medical Marijuana Infused Products Manufacturer in operation on such effective date shall cease operations within ninety (90) days of the effective date specified in this Section 2.**

YES

NO

**City of Fort Collins**

Initiated Question 301  
Proposed Citizen-Initiated Ordinance

An ordinance repealing certain provisions of the City Code that presently prohibit the operation of medical marijuana businesses in the City and replacing those provisions with rules and regulations governing the licensing, number, location and operation of such businesses.

FOR THE ORDINANCE

AGAINST THE ORDINANCE

**Larimer County Public Improvement District**

Horseshoe View Estates South #44  
REFERRED ISSUE 5A

SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$86,400 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED HORSESHOE VIEW ESTATES SOUTH PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 44 BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 79.537 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2013 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES; SHALL HORSESHOE VIEW ESTATES SOUTH PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 44 BE CREATED; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5½% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2012 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?

YES

NO

**Larimer County Public Improvement District**

Soldier Canyon Estates #52  
REFERRED ISSUE 5B

SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$6,500 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED SOLDIER CANYON ESTATES PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 52 BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 10.364 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2013 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES; SHALL SOLDIER CANYON ESTATES PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 52 BE CREATED; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5½% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2012 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?

YES

NO

Larimer County Public Improvement District	Larimer County Public Improvement District	Larimer County Local Improvement District
<p>Horseshoe View Estates North #53 REFERRED ISSUE 5C</p> <p>SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$50,500 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED HORSESHOE VIEW ESTATES NORTH PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 53 BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 35.058 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2013 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES; SHALL HORSESHOE VIEW ESTATES NORTH PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 53 BE CREATED; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5½% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2012 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>Terry Shores #54 REFERRED ISSUE 5D</p> <p>SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$43,000 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED TERRY SHORES PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 54 BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 14.286 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2013 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES; SHALL TERRY SHORES PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 54 BE CREATED; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5½% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2012 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>River Glen #2012-1 REFERRED ISSUE 5E</p> <p>SHALL LARIMER COUNTY DEBT BE INCREASED BY THE AMOUNT OF \$1,240,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$2,520,000; AND SHALL LARIMER COUNTY TAXES BE INCREASED \$2,520,000 ANNUALLY OR BY SUCH LESSER ANNUAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO PAY THE AFOREMENTIONED DEBT, BY IMPOSING SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS UPON PROPERTY IN THE COUNTY'S LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 2012-1 (RIVER GLEN), WHICH ASSESSMENTS ARE SUBJECT TO PREPAYMENT AT THE OPTION OF THE PROPERTY OWNER: SUCH DEBT TO CONSIST OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS OR OTHER FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS BEARING INTEREST AT A NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT TO EXCEED 5%; SUCH SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS OR OTHER FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS SHALL BE ISSUED TO PAY THE COSTS OF PROVIDING CERTAIN LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS IN SUCH DISTRICT, TO BE REPAYED FROM THE PROCEEDS OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS TO BE IMPOSED UPON THE PROPERTY INCLUDED WITHIN SUCH DISTRICT; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF THE AFOREMENTIONED SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS IMPOSED UPON THE PROPERTY IN THE DISTRICT BENEFITED BY THE LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS OR OTHER FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AND THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH ASSESSMENTS, AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON CONSTITUTE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE COUNTY WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY EXPENDITURE, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW, AND WITHOUT LIMITING IN ANY YEAR THE AMOUNT OF OTHER REVENUES THAT MAY BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE COUNTY?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NO</p>

## Loveland Rural Fire Protection District

### BALLOT ISSUE 5F

SHALL THE LOVELAND RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$676,000 ANNUALLY (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR INCREASE), AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER, BY AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF NOT MORE THAN 2.90 MILLS, (FOR A TOTAL MILL LEVY OF 8.708 MILLS) COMMENCING IN TAX YEAR 2012 FOR COLLECTION IN 2013 AND CONTINUING THROUGH 2022 WITH SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED TO CONTINUE THE FIRE DISTRICT'S CURRENT OPERATIONS, INCLUDING, FIREFIGHTING PERSONNEL, EQUIPMENT, FACILITIES, AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE; AND SHALL SUCH TAX PROCEEDS BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE FIRE DISTRICT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGE IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

YES

NO